1 2 3 4 5	BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney KYLE REARDON Assistant U.S. Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 554-2700 (916) 554-2900 FAX Attorney for Plaintiff United States of America		
7 8	IN THE UNITED	O STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)) CASE NO. 2:14-CR-0107 TLN	
12	Plaintiff,)	
13	v.)) STIPULATION AND ORDER	
14	JOSHUA LANDON KLIPP,	ONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE FROM SEPTEMBER 4, 2014, TO	
15	Defendant.	OCTOBER 23, 2014	
16			
17			
18	STIPULATION		
19	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and		
20	defendant, by and through his counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
21	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on September 4, 2014.		
22	2. By this stipulation, the defendant now moves to continue the status		
23	conference until October 23, 2014, at 9:30 a.m., and to exclude time between September		
24	2014, and October 23, 2014 under Local Code T4.		
25	3. The parties agree and stip	pulate, and request that the Court find the	
26	following:		
27	a. The government has repre	esented that the discovery associated with this case	

includes a significant amount of computer evidence.

Case 2:14-cr-00107-TLN Document 20 Filed 09/02/14 Page 2 of 3

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
- 1	- 5
- 1	6
1	7
1	, 8
_	9
	0
	1
	2
	3
	ے 4
	4 5
	ა 6
_	7

- b. Counsel for both parties are unable to devote the time necessary to review of the evidence because of other duties. In particular, Defense Counsel has been unable to complete his review of the seized computer evidence in this case. Counsel believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- c. Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- d. For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seg., the time period of September 4, 2014 to October 23, 2014, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv), Local Code T4, because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: September 2, 2014 Respectfully Submitted,

> BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney

By: /s/ Kvle Reardon KYLE REARDON Assistant U.S. Attorney

Dated: September 2, 2014 /s/ Kyle Reardon for

MICHAEL AYE

Attorney for the Defendant

28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:14-CR-0107 TLN
Plaintiff,))
v.	ORDER CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE FROM SEPTEMBER 4,
JOSHUA LANDON KLIPP,	2014, UNTIL OCTOBER 23, 2014
Defendant.	
))

The parties' stipulation is approved and so ordered. The time beginning September 4, 2014, until October 23, 2014, is excluded from the calculation of time under the Speedy Trial Act. For the reasons contained in the parties' stipulation, this exclusion is appropriate to ensure effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv); Local Code T4. The interests of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

Dated: September 2, 2014

Troy L. Nunley

United States District Judge